G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT. P31

1902.
(Municipal.)

**File No.** 55.

**Serial Nos.** 1—11.

# Papers Filed.

*Month* October 1903.

SUBJECT.

Compensation to Baker Saib for destroying his house.

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES | LATER REFERENCES |
|---|---|
| Indexed. | B. N. Narasimha Iyengar |

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS

---

## Short Office Note.

---

*Subject Letter no. 75 dated the 6th Nov. 1902 from the President of the Municipality Mysore City, reporting on petition of Bahar Sab, pensioned Barber Subedar re the demolition of his house and malige in Lashkar Mohalla

---

Note

The petitioner's complaint is that while he was absent from the town, the Municipality dismantled his house and shop attached to it although the former was tenanted at the time. The President's explanation is that the action complained of was one taken under section 130 of the Municipal Regulations (removal of buildings or in a dangerous condition) The report contains a correct record of the facts of the case. As regards the petitioner's allegation, however, that "the Municipality turned out the families

* No and date of letter, from whom, catch words to denote nature of Subject should be stated, in red ink

† serial no 5 slip D

One form may be used for more than one letter of the same file as long as there is space The Office Note should be in black ink. Write only on side of this form

Copy submitted

vide petition no 522 slip B

living in good portion of the buildings and removed the whole block the President states that it appears to be an after-thought, as it does not find a place in any of his previous petitions. It is submitted that this does not appear to be quite accurate, as the petitioner has made this allegation in his petition to the President dated 5th July 1900. (serial no 5/11) The building was removed on the 7th November 1899 and the Municipal Engineer's statement that it was 'underwater' relates to the date on which he inspected the building, i.e. 31st May 1899. (vide enclosure 5/15 slip C) The notice was served on the Petr on the 7th August 1899 & the house was dismantled on the 17th October 1900 Submitted for orders (with draft endorsement to petitioner that the Govt see no ground for interference) The case is however a hard one.

C

slip E

5/12/1902

10/12

The Dewan through the 2nd Councillor

I agree that this is a hard case. Could not the President come to an amicable settlement with the man? The Municipal Engineer accordingly enquiry may be made

£75.250
11-12-02

16/12/02 A S M

Dossier 171 13/1

Enquiry was accordingly made in do of the 1st Dec 1902 whether an amicable settlement could not be effected with the petitioner

In reply, the President states that Bakar Sah wishes to be paid for his property at rates at which a portion of it was acquired by the Municipality about 15 or 16 years ago, which would amount to 40,000 for the present property & that it is hardly fair to pay so much for the property which was almost in ruins at the time it was demolished. He further adds that in the course of this negotiation with the petitioner it came to the Vice-President's notice that Bakar Sah has no right over the property for which he now claims compensation as it has been sold to another person & submits a copy of the sale deed obtained from the Sub-Registrar's office. In these circumstances he says that an amicable settlement with Bakar Sah will be of no avail

It is submitted that the document referred to is a sale deed executed-

by Bakar Sahib, in December 1895 conveying the property in the said Maleja to his own sons for a sum of Rs 500/

Submitted for orders
chg 4/3/03.

HB
10/3/03

Put up the draft reply
which may perhaps be issued now.

The Dewan
through the 2nd
Councillor

HMS
14/3

Rs 554
3/03.

The Retn claim seems unreasonable. I agree to the draft
13/3/03

HMS 14/3

(The Dewan's order appearing on the draft endorsement to petitioner)

Submitted with Petn Registered No 742 from Bakar Sahib received from the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maha Rajah for submission of the papers.

HB
9/10/03

To the Dewan.

HMS
10/10/03

1052

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

*Subject.*

Memorial of Bakar Sab, pensioned Bare Subedar, claiming Compensation for the demolition of his house &c in Mysore, by the President of that Municipality

1170

*Order.*

It is apparently a hard case But Petr. has evidently greatly exaggerated the Value of the Structures & I do not see that anything Can be done for him. He may be informed that I decline to interfere

~~KRW~~

23/10/03

order as

~~KRW~~

24. X. 03

Submitted to His Highness.

Memorandum called for in P.S. No 860 of the 7th Sept 1903.

13.X.03

L. 7p 83.

SECTION ---- 1902 03

FILE No. ----

SERIAL No ----

No. 27

Name of Petitioner Baker Saheb pensioned Bar Saheb-dar

Village

Taluk Doddapeli

District Mysore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 3.7.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Subd

May this be sent to the President, Municipality Mysore city

9.7.02 To L 132 (P)

16/7/02

Ask the Petitioner to produce the order against which he appeals before Jt. JZ 11/7/1902

ABSTRACT

States that he owned a house and a no of Shops in Doddapeli High Road, Mysore city; that in Octob 98 a notice was issued to him by the Municipali that a portion of hi buildings was in a dan rous condition and Sho be removed; that the pete at once removed it and commenced rebuilding but that before completion plague broke out near the place and the pete had to

MGCPB—1,000—31-1-02

go to Baanur where also
plague broke out; and several
of the petr's family fell
victims to the Plague; that
after his return to Mysore
he found the entire buildings
demolished and was told
that the Municipality did,
the work of demolition (after
serving a notice on his house
in his absence, fixing a
time for completion of the
work); that his complaint
to the Vice-President and
President (Mr. Madiah)
proved useless; and that
he was getting a rent of
Rs 40/- from the shops and
living in the house, (the
property being worth over Rs 1000/-
that the materials were
sold by the Municipality
in his absence and he is
told that only a few annas
after deducting the expenses
of demolition remain to be
paid to petr out of the sale proceeds.

Prays for orders to
Municipality to grant
compensation or
to restore at Municipal cost

SECTION — Miscl

FILE No. 45 of '21

SERIAL No. 2

924

No applies

dt 17/1/22 5

Endorsement

With reference to his petition
~~intimidate~~
praying that adequate
compensation for his
house demolished by the
~~Lyase~~ City municipality may
be ordered to be paid to
him, Baker Sab, Pensioned
Barr Subedar, is informed
that he shd
~~to~~ produce the order
against which he ~~has~~
appeals ~~dt to Govt~~.

By order

16/1/22

For Offg Secy

Glass

(X383
6

SECTION

FILE NO.

SERIAL NO. 1902-03

522

Name of Petitioner Baker Sahe

~~Village~~ Peru second Barr Sahe-dare

~~Taluk~~ Sascar Mohalla Eraugere

~~District~~ Mysore City

Date of Arzi 19.8.02

Date of Receipt 22.8.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one (copy)

ABSTRACT

with reference to endt
No 924 dated 17.7.02
L.B.(P) 41
Submits herewith copy of
order No 284 dated 11.8.02
18
of the President Mysore
city Municipality which is
as follows:- "on the perusal
of the records it is seen that
a notice was issued to you
as per Section 130 of the Muni-
-cipal regulations directing
you to repair the build-
-ings in question being in a
dangerous

* Put in
11/9/02
A-S-A
Dy 11/9/02
Draftsman Mal
12/9

27/9/02 [illegible]
10-7-02 [illegible]

Transferred to the
Local Boards Branch
for continuation.
4-5 02
13/9/02

dangerous condition. As you failed to repair it, the same was removed by the Municipality and the cost of removal recovered by the sale of the materials thereof Hence no relief could be given to you accordingly to rules."

The pete Submits that neither the President nor the Vice-President inspected the building; that the conservancy overseer who bare grudge against the pete the said notice was issued; that the pete at once removed the dangerous had portions and completed the rebuilding excepting the roofing for which tiles were not ready; that he had to evacuate the place on account of plague before finishing the roofing that a 2nd noticed was issued to him while he was at Baanur where he had lost 3 or 4 members of his family by plague; that a reply was however sent to the effect that the work would be completed soon after return to Mysore; and that the authorities removed the entire building in his absence in spite of the above reply. 3.9.07

F.V.B. Pete States also that a compensation of Rs 130/- per the same block had been paid to him in 1888;

By post Copy is sent
22-8-02

522 R
22-8-1902

The Secretary to the Govt
of Bombay Revenue Dept

Respected Sir

With reference to yours no. Judicial
No. 924 dated 17th July 1902 directing me
L.B (P). 41
to submit the order against which I appealed
I beg to submit herewith the copy of order No. 28
dt 11/18-8-1900 of the President Bijapur City Municipality

I beg here to submit for the information of
the Govt that neither the President nor the Vice President
had inspected the building alleged to have been in
a dangerous state but on the report of the Mukadam (ser-
-vant) overseer who was not on good terms with
me a notice was served on me to repair the building
I at once removed all the bad portion and recon-
-structed it with the exception of spreading tiles
which were also collected & kept ready and in
the good portions people were living. In the
mean while plague having broken out in
the city Mr. Madhavarao Plague Commr & Dr.
Benson advised me & the residents in the
vicinity to evacuate the city at once. I therefore
went to Bannur as there was no danger to the building
Again on the report of the same overseer another
notice was sent to Bannur where I was laid up
& when 3 or 4 members of my family were attacked
with plague; seeing at that state of affairs I
sent a reply to the notice stating that the dangerous
portions had been reconstructed & the remaining
work would be completed as soon as Plague sub
sided. Notwithstanding this the Bijapur Municipality
turned out the families living in good portions of
the building & removed the whole block.

This I represented to the President & the Vice
President but I proved above facts by evidence
yet no inquiry was made but simply the above en-
-dorsement was given to me. I therefore respectfully
pray that the Govt would be pleased to cause

application to be made in my absence of the present officers and their final orders will be passed in the case which has been unjustly dealt with and for which we are grateful I beg to remain

Sir

Your most obedient humble servant

(Signed) Baker Sahib

Assumed Barr Subedar
Residency at Lankar
Mohalla Bangalore
Mysore —

19 8 1902
Lankar Mohalla
Bangalore Mysore

P S

I beg to state that during the time of Mr Abdul Rahiman Vice President Mysore I have received compensation at 130 Rs per annum of the same block, a reference may kindly be made to this fact in the records of the Bangalore Municipal office for the year 1884

[illegible] to be made in any instance of [illegible] officers and those [illegible] under the present case which has been [illegible] dealt with and pick me to [illegible] I beg to remain

Sir

Your [illegible] the Bank's pass book

19 8 [illegible]
Lankaran Road
Srirangapatna Mysore

(Signed) Baker Sahib

Recommended Bazar Sirdar
Residency at Lankaran
Srirangapatna
Mysore —

PS

I beg to state that during the time of Mr. Abdul Rahiman Vice President Mysore I have received compensation at 130 Rs. per annum of the same block, a reference may kindly be made to this fact in the records of the Srirangapatna municipal office for the year 188[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH

F. [illegible]

S. [illegible]

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

5936 R/655
L.B. 303-96 8/10/02
1 o Letter + one enclosure

The accompanying Appeal Petitions
Registered Nos. 27 & 72 dated 3rd July & 22nd Aug 1902 received at the Dewan's Office from Baker sub pensioned Barr Subedar, residing in Eraugere, Lashkar Mohalla of the Mysore City, praying that he may be paid suitable compensation for his house demolished by the City Municipality, or that his house and shop may be got rebuilt at the cost of the funds

~~as~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ their enclosure, to the present of the Mysore City Municipal Commissioner, for a ~~detailed~~ report, ~~on the~~ several allegations made in the two petitions. The submission of the original records, if any connected with the case is also requested.

By Order,

BANGALORE, 30/9/ 1902

Secretary

MGOPB—21-7-02—500.

omitted

A two-rupee stamp should be affixed to all appeal petitions to Govt. No action has been taken in the Plague Rs on the original unstamped petition (vide Endt. 924 LBP 41 of 17.7.02) the omission was overlooked in this Branch when dealing with the 2d reference from the petr. If directed, the petitions will be returned as being unstamped, and also out of date, as the order appealed against was passed so far back as 11th Aug 1902. 30/9/02

This is a matter relating to the recovery of the house on Sanctuary. The Stamp & other formalities be overlooked in this case & a report called for. Let 4/10/02 for this 30/9.

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

5213

1902.

L798

From

M. Kanthraj Urs. Esq
BA

PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL,
COMMISSION,
MYSORE.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya. Esq
MA M.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE.
REV. & GEN. DEPTS.

Dated 6th

Despatched 11th November 1902

Received

General No. 75.

No.

Enclosure No. One File and
2 Petitions with One Enclosure

Submitting a report
on the petition of Bahar Sab,
Pensioned Barr Subedar, residing
in Erangere, Mysore, forwarded
with Official Memorandum
No. 5936 dt/18.10.02.
LF303-96

MGCPB—2,000—6-7-02.

12.11.02

No. 755/11.11.02

THE CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
MYSORE.

Dated 6th November 1902

From

M. Kanthararaj Urs. Esq.
PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
MYSORE.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya. Esq. M.A. M.L
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
REV. & GEN. DEPTS.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 5936/FF303-96 dated 18th October 1902 forwarding for report petitions dated 3rd July and 19th August 1902 from Bakar Sab, Peon and Barr Subedar, residing in Evangere, Lohkar Mohalla, Mysore City, praying that he may be paid suitable Compensation for his house demolished by the City Municipality or that his house and shop may be caused to be rebuilt at the Cost of the Municipality

Immerpality, I have the honour to state as
follows:—

On 23rd May 1899 the
Sanitary Overseer of the Mohalla reported to the
Vice-President that petitioner Bakar Sab's
Maligees had come down and that the existence
of this dilapidated structure was a source of
danger to the public. On receipt of this report
the Vice-President came for an inspection of
the premises to be made by the Immeipal
Engineer and after having satisfied himself
that the dilapidated condition of the structure
was a source of danger to passers by, took
action under the provisions of section 130
of the Immeipal Regulations by issuing
a notice to the petitioner requesting him to
take down the dilapidated structure and
thereby abate the danger to passers by.

This notice which was dated
2nd June

2nd June 1899. was personally served on the petitioner on 7th August 1899 at Bannur where he then resided.

On 23rd August 1899 the Sanitary Overseer of the Division reported in reply to the Vice-President's enquiry on the subject that the petitioner had not taken down the dangerous portion of the Pariyee. On receipt of this report the Vice-President took action under the penal clause of section 130 of the Municipal Regulations and directed that the dilapidated structure should be taken down This was done by the Sanitary Overseer on the 7th September 1899 and the materials were secured.

On the 19th September 99 Bakar Sab petitioned the Vice-President asking for time to make a personal representation to him on the subject as he was then laid up. On the 26th Idem the Vice-President informed the petitioner by means of an endorsement that as he failed to take down the dangerous portion of the Pariyee as required by the notice served on him the same had been taken down

down and that the cost of this demolition viz Rs 15/- would be realized by the sale of a portion of the materials and that the sale would be held on the 30th Idem

The petitioner not having paid the cost of taking down the dangerous portion of the structure some of the materials were sold for Rs 15-1-0 and the cost of demolition was precouped out of this amount and the balance of one anna was ordered to be held in deposit

Bakar Tab again petitioned the Vice-President that the Mahajee taken down was being repaired when so treated and that owing to the outbreak of plague he was not able to procure workmen and that therefore it was not finished and prayed that as he was getting a rent of Rs 20 the building may be restored or an adequate compensation may be paid to him

In reply the Vice-President informed him by means of an endorsement dated 21st April 1902 that as the building was in a dangerous condition it was ordered demolished under the provisions of section 130 of the Municipal Regulation and that if he did not take back the materials of his house which was received by the Municipality these would also be sold. The petitioner failed to take back the materials within the time fixed therefor and they were therefore sold on 24th August 1901 and the sale proceeds viz Rs 6/- was credited to the Municipal funds on 24th December 1901.

The petitioner then appealed to the President who on perusal of the records confirmed the proceedings of the Vice President. The petitioner now appeals to the Government against this order of the President. The Sanitary Overseer of the Mohalla and the Municipal Engineer are positive that the building at the time

Note.
The Vice President should have personally satisfied himself, before ordering the demolition.
BB 5/12

of Mr. Inspector was untenanted and was not fit for human habitation. The petitioner's allegation in his appeal to Government that his tenants were forcibly removed from the premises seems to be an afterthought as this statement is not contained in any one of several former petitions he has presented to the Vice President and the President.

The vernacular records on the subject are herewith submitted with a list.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

K.V.B. For President

FILE NO. 1 — 3

SERIAL NO. Dear Sir Ramanujacharlu

With reference to your letter no. 75 dated the 6th November 1902 reporting on the petition of Bakar Lab, Pensioned Barr Subedar, praying that he may be paid suitable compensation for his house and shalige in Lashkar Mohalla which were demolished by the City Municipality, I am to request you to ~~discuss~~ state whether you cannot come to an amicable settlement with the petitioner, seeing that the case is somewhat a hard case

Yours Sincerely

17/11/02

Deputy Secty

D.O. sent 17/12/02

To

H. Ramanujacharlu Esq
Pleader Mysore

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

My dear Sir

I beg to (Ramanujacharya)

Referring to my D.O
to Mr. Ramanujacharya
of 17 Dec.r last, requesting
him to state whether he
could not
~~cannot~~ come to an amicable
Settlement with (Bakar Saib,
pensioned Bara Subadar,
in regard to the compensation
claimed by him for his Lands
and Malige in Lashkar Mo-
hulla which were dama-
-ged by the Mysore City
Municipality, I am to re quest
that you will be so good as
to furnish the required
information at a very early
date as the matter has been
pending
long

Yours Sincerely

D.O. Ack.
29/1/03

11 20/1/03

~~Deputy Secretary~~

Dng 12/1/03

To
~~B. K. Ramanujacharya Esq~~
B. K. Venkatacharlu Esq
Dy Mysore City

Memo Gold No. 1/12

(7) L1548

A.S.C.
G.J. 2/2/03

Mysore
28th January 1903

SECTION.....
FILE No.....
SERIAL No.....

My dear Sir.

To Bakar Sab.
Subadar Pensioned
14.10.02

In reply to your D.O. of the 17th December last and subsequent reminder requesting me to state whether an amicable settlement with Bakar Sab, pensioned Bara Subadar is possible in regard to the compensation claimed by him for his house and malige in Lushkar mohala which were demolished by the Mysore city municipality, I beg to state that Bakar sab who was in Bangalore was sent for and spoken to by the Vice President on the subject. Bakar Sab wished to be paid for his property at rates at which a portion of it was

"True copy"

Office of the Municipal Commission, Kurnool.
Dated 26th February 1903.

Vice President

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಇಆ 187 ಕೃಸೌಸ 2021 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ 28 ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 2021

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 1959 (1960ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 42) ರ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 22ರ ಉಪ-ಪ್ರಕರಣ (1) ರ ಷರತ್ತು (ಎ) ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳಿಗೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ:—

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. ಸಂಘದ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳು

1. ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಳಿಕಾಂಬಾ ಮಹಿಳಾ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ ನಿ., ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 22, ಮೊದಲನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಚಾಮರಾಜಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 018 ಉಪವಿಧಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 5ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಗಳು ಎಂಬ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಡಿ ಇರುವ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಬಿ) ಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟು, ಅದರ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಹೊಸ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಬಿ) ಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ:

"(ಬಿ) ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುವ ಅಥವಾ ಜೀವನೋಪಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಹದಿನೆಂಟು ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರು ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲು ಅರ್ಹರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಅವರು ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಆರು ತಿಂಗಳ ಅವಧಿಯಿಂದ ವಾಸವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು ಅಥವಾ ಜೀವನೋಪಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರಬೇಕು."

2. ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಳಿಕಾಂಬಾ ಮಹಿಳಾ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ ನಿ., ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 22, ಮೊದಲನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಚಾಮರಾಜಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 018 ಉಪವಿಧಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 12ರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಎಂಬ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಡಿ ಇರುವ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಎ) ಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟು, ಅದರ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಹೊಸ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಎ) ಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ:

"(ಎ) ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯು ಒಂಬತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನು ಒಳಗೊಂಡಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಆರು ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಂದ ಚುನಾಯಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಒಂದು ಸದಸ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ/ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಮಹಿಳೆಗೆ ಮೀಸಲಿಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಒಂದು ಸದಸ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಮಹಿಳೆಗೆ ಮೀಸಲಿಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಒಂದು ಸದಸ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಮಹಿಳೆಗೆ ಮೀಸಲಿಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಉಳಿದ ಮೂರು ಸದಸ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರಿಗೆ ಮೀಸಲಿಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಮೀಸಲಾತಿಯ ಸುತ್ತು ಪ್ರತಿ ಚುನಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಬದಲಾಗುವುದು."

3. ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಳಿಕಾಂಬಾ ಮಹಿಳಾ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ ನಿ., ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 22, ಮೊದಲನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಚಾಮರಾಜಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 018 ಉಪವಿಧಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 19ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲ ಮತ್ತು ಮುಂಗಡಗಳು ಎಂಬ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಡಿ ಇರುವ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಸಿ) ಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟು, ಅದರ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಹೊಸ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಸಿ) ಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ:

"(ಸಿ) ಸಂಘವು ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಗರಿಷ್ಠ ರೂ. 50,000/- ಮೊತ್ತದವರೆಗೆ ಸಾಲ ನೀಡಬಹುದು. ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತವು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳ ಮತ್ತು ಠೇವಣಿಗಳ ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತದ ಮೂರು ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಿಂತ ಮೀರಬಾರದು. ಸಾಲದ ಅವಧಿಯು ಗರಿಷ್ಠ 3 ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಸಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇಕಡಾ 12ರ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ದರವನ್ನು ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಮಾಸಿಕ ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮರುಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಮನಾದ ಮೌಲ್ಯದ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಜಾಮೀನು ಅಥವಾ ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಯ ಅಡಮಾನವನ್ನು ಭದ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ಪಡೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು."

4. ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಳಿಕಾಂಬಾ ಮಹಿಳಾ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ ನಿ., ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 22, ಮೊದಲನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಚಾಮರಾಜಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 018 ಉಪವಿಧಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 27ರಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಭಾಂಶ ಎಂಬ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಡಿ ಇರುವ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಎ) ಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟು, ಅದರ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಹೊಸ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಎ) ಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ:

"(ಎ) ಸಂಘವು ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷ ಶೇಕಡಾ 25ರಷ್ಟು ಲಾಭಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ವಿತರಿಸಬಹುದು. ಲಾಭಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳದ ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ವಿತರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಲಾಭಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು."

5. ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಳಿಕಾಂಬಾ ಮಹಿಳಾ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ ನಿ., ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 22, ಮೊದಲನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಚಾಮರಾಜಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 018 ಉಪವಿಧಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 31ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆ ಎಂಬ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಡಿ ಇರುವ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಡಿ) ಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟು, ಅದರ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಹೊಸ ಉಪ-ಉಪವಿಧಿ (ಡಿ) ಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ:

"(ಡಿ) ಸಂಘದ ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ 30ರೊಳಗೆ ಕರೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯ ಕೋರಂ ಒಟ್ಟು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಶೇಕಡಾ 10ರಷ್ಟು ಅಥವಾ ಹತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರು, ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ಕಡಿಮೆಯೋ ಅಷ್ಟು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹಾಜರಿರಬೇಕು."

ಈ ಆದೇಶವು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 1959 ರ ಪ್ರಕರಣ 22ರ ಉಪ-ಪ್ರಕರಣ (1) ರ ಷರತ್ತು (ಎ) ರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಇಆ 187 ಕೃಸೌಸ 2021 ದಿನಾಂಕ 28 ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 2021 ರ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯ ಭಾಗವಾಗಿದೆ.

No. 14303
L. F. 52-02 9/27/8/03.

SERIAL

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH.
The 190

Rch 1691.

ENDORSEMENT granted to Bakar Sab pensioner Bara Subedar, residing at Bangere, Mysore City

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 274 522 dated 3rd quly & 19 th August 1902, praying that he may be paid suitable Compensation for his ~~house demolished~~ by the City Municipality or that his house and Shop may be got re-built out of Municipal funds.

~~Petitioner is informed that~~

Petitioner is informed that the Government see no ground for interference with the President's order complained against

"By order"

H L
25/Secy

12/3/03

0797

22 III 03

JB
5/12/902

By Order,

Secretary




No 260

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated Mysore, 17th September 1903

SECTION

FILE No

SER'AL No.

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Genl: & Revenue Departments, for submission of the papers re demolition of his houses.

405 dated 16-9-03.

By Order,

I. C. S.
*Private Secretary*
*to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.*

Petn
19.9.03

Petn. No. 805 & 16-9-03 to H.H., is transferred to the Secy. to Govt. Jud & Rev. Depts. for submission of the papers re demolition of his houses.

Sd. E Narasimhiah
19/9/03

Reference end
27
5-5-03

L 7347
1903-04

No 742

Name of Petitioner Sd Bahar Sab pensioned Jamadar Subedar

Village Eranagere

Taluk Nunsore city

District

Date of Arzi 12 & 3-9-03

Date of Receipt 21

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two

In the Name. (Undertaken the 15th 7 30/1/12

ABSTRACT

Memorial to H. H. praying for review of Govt. order No. 14305 / L. F 52-02 dy 27-3-03, so that he may be grant an adequate compensation for his houses demolished by the Municipality of Nunsore, or that a row of shops may be built at the cost of the Municipality.

He further prays for appointment also as and for a grant of

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Transferred to the Local Boards Branch in continuation

27
20-5-03

Rn 8/10/03
A-S ch
Orig 8/10/03
9-10-03

Submitted. The papers herein referred to are put up with the office note containing the history of the case.

25/9/1